No. EXN—C009(08)/1/2021-GST CELL-E&T-Part-I-904-22 Government of Himachal Pradesh, Department of State Taxes and Excise.

To

- 1. The Additional/Jt. Commissioner of State Taxes and Excise, (South Zone, North Zone, Central Zone), Shimla, Palampur, Mandi, H.P.
- 2. The Joint Commissioner of State Taxes and Excise, Flying Squad, (Central Zone, North Zone, South Zone), Una, Palampur, Parwanoo, H.P.
- 3. The Dy. Commissioner of State Taxes and Excise, Shimla, Solan, Una, Sirmour, Bilaspur, Hamirpur, Mandi, Kullu, Chamba, Kangra, Revenue Distt Nurpur and BBN Baddi, H.P.
- 4. The Asstt. Commissioner of State Taxes and Excise, Incharge Distt. Kinnour, H.P.

Dated Shimla-9 14th Jan., 2022.

Sir,

Subject: Clarification on certain refund related issues-reg.

Various doubts have been arisen in respect of certain issues relating to refund. In order to ensure uniformity in the implementation of the provisions of the law across field formations, in exercise of its powers conferred under section 168 of the Himachal Pradesh Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as "HPGST Act"), each of these issues are clarified as under:

S.No.	Issue	Clarification
1.	Whether the provisions of sub-	No, the provisions of sub-section(1) of
	section (1) of section 54 of the	section 54 of the HPGST Act regarding
	HPGST Act regarding time period,	time period, within which an
	within which an application for	application for refund can be filed,
	refund can be filed, would be	would not be applicable in cases of
	applicable in cases of refund of	refund of excess balance in electronic

	excess balance in electronic cash ledger?	cash ledger.
2.	Whether certification/declaration	No, furnishing of certification/
	under Rule 89(2)(1) or 89(2)(m) of	declaration under Rule 89(2)(1) or
	HPGST Rules, 2017 is required to	89(2)(m) of the HPGST Rules, 2017
	be furnished along with the	for not passing the incidence of tax to
	application for refund of excess	any other person is not required in
	balance in electronic cash ledger?	cases of refund of excess balance in
		electronic cash ledger as unjust
		enrichment clause is not applicable in
		such cases.
3.	Whether refund of TDS/TCS	The amount deducted/collected as
	deposited in electronic cash ledger	TDS/TCS by TDS/TCS deductors
	under the provisions of section	under the provisions of section 51/52 of
	51/52 of the HPGST Act can be	the HPGST Act, as the case may be,
	refunded as excess balance in cash	and credited to electronic cash ledger
	ledger?	of the registered person, is equivalent
		to cash deposited in electronic cash
		ledger. It is not mandatory for the
		registered person to utilize the
		TDS/TCS amount credited to his
		electronic cash ledger only for the
		purpose for discharging tax liability.
		The registered person is at full liberty
		to discharge his tax liability in respect
		of the supplies made by him during a
		tax period, either through debit in
		electronic credit ledger or through debit
		in electronic cash ledger, as per his
		choice and availability of balance in the

said ledgers.

Any amount, which remains unutilized in electronic cash ledger, after discharge of tax dues and other dues payable under HPGST Act and rules made thereunder, can be refunded to the registered personas excess balance in electronic cash ledger in accordance with the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 54, read with sub-section (6) of section 49 of HPGST Act.

4. Whether relevant date for the refund of tax paid on supplies regarded as deemed export by recipient is to be determined as per clause (b) of Explanation (2) under section 54 of HPGST Act and if so, whether the date of return filed by the supplier or date of return filed by the recipient will be relevant for the purpose of determining relevant date for such refunds?

Clause (b) of Explanation (2) under Section54of HPGST Act reads as under:

"(b) in the case of supply of goods regarded as deemed exports where a refund of tax paid is available in respect of the goods, the date on which the return relating to such deemed exports is furnished;"

On perusal of the above, it is clear that clause (b) of Explanation (2) under section 54 of the HPGST Act is applicable for determining relevant date in respect of refund of amount of

tax paid on the supply of goods regarded as deemed exports, irrespective of the fact whether the refund claim is filed by the supplier or by the recipient.

Further, as the tax on the supply of goods, regarded as deemed export, would be paid by the supplier in his return, therefore, the relevant date for purpose of filing of refund claim for refund of tax paid on such supplies would be the date of filing of return, related to such supplies, by the supplier.

- 1. This circular shall come into force w.e.f. 17.11.2021.
- 3. Difficulty, if any, in the implementation of the above instructions may please be brought to the notice this office.

Yours Faithfully,

Sd/-

Yunus, (IAS)

Commissioner of State Taxes and Excise, Himachal Pradesh